

# The birational geometry of GIT quotients (w/ R. Reboulet)

Geometric Invariant Theory (GIT), Mumford '60s,  
is the quotient theory in algebraic geometry.  
Interesting for moduli theory.

- $X$  proj variety /  $\mathbb{C}$
- $L$  ample line bundle
- $G$  reductive group (complexification of a maximal compact subgroup)

Assume  $G \curvearrowright (X, L)$

(so the action lifts  
to a linear action on  $L$ )

e.g.  $U(n) \subset GL(n)$

Goal Construct a "reasonable" quotient of  $(X, L)$  by  $G$ .

Two equivalent approaches: cover  $X$  by affines, or work globally.

Affine approach: (i) locally consider  $U \subset X$  affine  
 $U = X - V(S)$ ,  $S \in H^0(X, L)^G$ , consider

$U // G = \text{Spec}(A^G)$ , where  $\text{Spec } A = U$ .  
so regular functions on  $U // G$  are just  $G$ -invariant functions. Important:  $A^G$  finitely generated.

(ii) Glue the  $U // G$  across different charts to produce  $X // G$ .

(iii) Projective approach:  $X//G = \text{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(X, kL)^G \right)$ .

Basic properties:

- $X//G$  projective (proper, separated)
- Not immediately clear what <sup>(G)</sup>points of  $X//G$  are.

Def We say  $x \in X$  (or  $G \cdot x$ ) is

- (i) semistable if  $\exists s \in H^0(X, kL)$  with  $s(x) \neq 0$ .
- (ii) polystable if  $x$  is semistable &  $G \cdot x$  is closed in  $X^{ss}$ .
- (iii) stable if  $x$  is polystable &  $G_x$  finite.

$X^{ss} \subset X$  is Zariski open. We have a rational map  $X \dashrightarrow X//G$ , defined on  $X^{ss}$ .

$X^{ss} \rightarrow X//G$ .

The  $(\mathbb{C})$  points of  $X //_{\mathbb{C}} G$  are precisely in bijection with polystable orbits.  $(/ \mathbb{C})$ .

Unstable points are ignored!

---

### Variation of GIT

Q What is the dependence of  $X //_{\mathbb{C}} G$  on  $L$ ?

Thm (Mumford-Hu, Thaddeus) <sup>1994</sup> Consider the ample cone

$\text{Amp}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)^G$  such that  $\exists$  stable point. Then:

(i) any two GIT quotients of  $X$  are birational.

(ii) only finitely many birationally equivalent GIT quotients appear.

(iii) the birational transformations are (Thaddeus) flips.

## Interlude on moduli theory

GIT is built to construct moduli spaces. (projective varieties, sheaves, maps). Roughly:

- (i) "embed all objects in some large variety/scheme" (e.g. embed all varieties in some projective space)
- (ii) "check orbits for some group action are isomorphism classes of objects" (e.g.  $GL(n+1)$  acting on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ )
- (iii) "take GIT quotient of some parameter space of objects" (e.g.  $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n} //_{\text{GIT}} G$ )

This process works for  $\text{Mg}$ ,  $\text{Mg}, n$ , moduli of stable vector bundles over a smooth projective curve  $G \curvearrowright$ , Kontsevich's stable maps...

In higher dim, GIT is usually a motivational philosophy, not so much a tool (e.g. K-stability, Bridgeland stability conditions on triangulated categories)

The analogue of VGIT in moduli theory is wall-crossing of moduli spaces: the line bundle is a "stability condition" & varying the stability condition varies the moduli space birationally.

E.g. Varying coefficients in  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ , Bridgeland stability conditions

But GIT produces finitely many birational models: how to obtain more?

## Universal variation of GIT

We ask how the GIT quotient depends on  $X$ ,  
as a counterpart to VGIT.

Def A GIT quotient over  $(X, G)$  is a GIT  
quotient  $Y //_{L_Y} G$ , where  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$  is  
a  $G$ -equivariant  $Y$  birational morphism.  $G \curvearrowright (Y, L_Y)$ .

Thm (D. Reboulet) GIT quotients over  $(X, G)$  are all  
mutually birational, and form a projective  
system. The projective limit is isomorphic  
to the Remmert-Zariski space of  
 $X //_{L_Y} G$  for any  $L$ .

Here : • To say that these form a projective system means that for any

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z // G \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ Y_1 // G & \dashrightarrow & Y_2 // G \end{array}$$

we find a  $Z // G$  with a morphism to both.

- The Reman-Zariski space of a proj var  $W$  is the projective limit of all birational models of  $W$ . It is a locally ringed space.

- Two proj varieties are birational

$\Leftrightarrow$  their RZ spaces are isomorphic.

Cor GIT quotients over  $(X, G)$  capture "all" of the birational geometry of  $X // G$ .



## Moduli theory: brief return

Our work suggests it's interesting to <sup>example</sup> vary both the "stability condition" = line bundle & the "parameter space" =  $\times$  itself (birationally)

Q What does this mean? Does this work for Bridgeland stability conditions? What are birational transformations of triangulated categories? E.g.  $D^b \text{Coh}(X)$ ?

## Ideas in the proof

The proofs are fairly straight forward.

Prop <sup>(DR)</sup> Let  $Y_1 //_{L_1} G$ ,  $Y_2 //_{L_2} G$  be GIT quotients over  $(X, G)$ . Then they are birational.

Pf  $\exists x_1, x_2$  stable in  $Y_1, Y_2$ , so let  
 $x_1 \in U_1 = Y_1 - V(S_1)$ ,  $x_2 \in U_2 = Y_2 - V(S_2)$   
Then  $U_1 // G$  is Zariski dense in  $Y_1 // G$ ,  $U_2 // G$  similarly.  
As  $Y_1, Y_2$  are birational to  $X$ ,  $\exists$   
 $U \subset X$  embedding in  $U_1, U_2$  & then  
 $U // G \subset U_1 // G$  as a Zariski dense subset.  
 $\square$

Then One obtains a proj system

Pf Need to find  $Z //_{L_2} G$   
 $\swarrow \quad \searrow$   
 $Y_1 //_{L_1} G \quad \dashrightarrow \quad Y_2 //_{L_2} G$

By birationality set  $W \subset Y_1 //_{L_1} G$  s.t.

$$Bl_W(Y_1 //_{L_1} G) \rightarrow Y_2 //_{L_2} G$$

$\downarrow$   
 $Y_1 //_{L_1} G$

By Kirwan, Reckstein  $Bl_W(Y_1 //_{L_1} G) \cong (Bl_{\bar{w}} Y_1) //_{L_1} G$   $\square$